

I. Introduction

1. In Latvia, a traditionally multi-ethnic country, minorities, predominantly Russian-speaking, make up more than a third of the population (38% as of 2022¹).

Since gaining independence (1991), the Latvian authorities have pursued the harshest policies towards their national minorities, who, to begin with, were overwhelmingly not recognised as Latvian citizens and subjected to comprehensive language testing in all workplaces.

Over 30 years of independence, the size of the Russian-speaking community has fallen by 45%, whilst the overall population has declined by 29% and the number of ethnic Latvians by 14%².

In 1991, out of 34,633 newborns, 20,107 were registered as ethnic Latvians and 9,716 as ethnic Russians. In 2024, these figures stood at 12,287, 10,182 and 934 respectively³.

2. The Latvian Human Rights Committee (LHRC) conducts general monitoring of the situation, as reflected in the bibliography provided, covering the period up to 2013 [1], up to 2017 [2], up to March 2024 [3], and also for March–April 2025 [4–5]. Separate monitoring is conducted regarding victims of criminal prosecution for political beliefs ([6–8]) and international recommendations addressed to Latvia in light of the need to put an end to violations of the rights of national minorities ([9], 2018–2025).

Unfortunately, in recent years the frequency of various actions by the authorities that are hostile towards national minorities has increased to such an extent that the monitoring must be continuously updated, which is the subject of this work.

3. The following sections (II–IX) of this paper describe:

- the implementation of the ban on teaching in minority languages;
- attempts to oust the Russian language from the service sector;
- restrictions on access to information in Russian;
- the persecution of citizens of the Russian Federation and the Republic of Belarus;
- the destruction of historical memory;
- hate speech;
- political restrictions;
- political repression of a criminal nature.

Section X contains a list of previous monitoring studies by the LHRC, to which references are made in this text by study number.

II. On the ban on teaching in minority languages.

¹ “64% of Latvia’s population speak Latvian as their native language” (lv), Press release, 23 October 2023: <https://stat.gov.lv/lv/statistikas-temas/izglitiba-kultura-zinatne/izglitibas-limenis/preses-relizes/21052-dzimta-un-majas>

² Statistical table IRE010 “Population by ethnicity at the beginning of the year 1935–2025”: https://data.stat.gov.lv/pxweb/en/OSP_PUB/START_POP_IR_IRE/IRE010

³ Statistical table IDS070 “Live births by ethnicity 1980–2024”: <https://stat.gov.lv/en/statistics-themes/population/fertility/tables/ids070-live-births-ethnicity>

4. In the 2025/2026 academic year, the full transition to teaching in Latvian, which began two years earlier, will be completed in those public and private educational institutions (preschools and schools from Year 1 to Year 9) that previously delivered curricula in the languages of national minorities⁴.

The teaching of the native language (primarily Russian) is permitted only as an optional subject for no more than three hours a week, and is not supported by the necessary organisational and financial resources⁵. From the 2026/2027 academic year, pupils will lose the right to choose Russian even as a second foreign language⁶.

Officially, this procedure – which is unnatural, contrary to the interests of the child, clearly hostile to national minorities and condemned by almost all international human rights institutions⁷ – is referred to as the transition to a unified school system.

Although the European Court of Human Rights (ECHR) has dismissed several hundred claims from children and parents regarding complaints about the comparatively milder ‘2018 Reform’, a collective complaint from 91 applicants is currently being considered by the UN Human Rights Committee⁸.

5. On 2 February 2026, the results of the ‘Unified School’ survey⁹, commissioned by the Ministry of Education and Science (MES) and conducted in October/November 2025, were published.

A total of 10,329 respondents were surveyed in 100 schools and 163 pre-school institutions across 24 local authorities in Latvia, including 35 local authority specialists, 1,447 school teaching staff and 1,335 – pre-school institutions, 3,007 pupils in Years 7 and 9 (for 84% of whom Russian is the only language spoken at home), 2,701 parents of schoolchildren and 1,844 parents of pre-school children.

The survey revealed that a significant proportion of pupils simply do not understand the content of lessons taught in Latvian.

According to estimates by preschool teachers, in 18% of cases all children were fluent in Latvian, the majority of children in 26% of cases, around half of the children in 18% of cases, and a minority in 37% of cases. For school teachers, these figures were 6%, 31%, 31% and 32%.

77% of the ninth-year pupils surveyed understand the content of lessons taught in Latvian, a further 21% find it difficult to understand, and 2% do not understand it at all. For seventh-year pupils, these figures are 71%, 27% and 2% respectively.

Educational institutions are failing to create the Latvian-language environment necessary for the transition to a unified school system.

21% of the students surveyed use Latvian only at school, whilst a further 16% speak Latvian with a tutor. By comparison, 31% of those surveyed use Latvian online, and 20% when communicating with friends.

⁴ See also [1], §§3.1, 3.2; [2], §§ 35–47; [3] Chapter III; [5] Chapter II.

⁵ [5], §II.3

⁶ [5], §II.4. See also ‘From the 2026/2027 academic year, it will no longer be possible to study Russian as a second foreign language in secondary school’ (ru). LSM.lv, 27 May 2025:

<https://rus.lsm.lv/statja/novosti/obschestvo/27.05.2025-s-20262027-ucebnogo-goda-russkii-yazyk-kak-vtoroi-inostrannyi-nelzya-budet-izucat-i-v-srednei-skole.a600738/>

⁷ [9] (ru0 – condemnation of the dismantling of the education system in minority languages is contained in 22 of the 23 international recommendations mentioned in the document

⁸ [3], §22

⁹ Unified School. Results of surveys on the transition to education in the Latvian language (lv):

<https://www.izm.gov.lv/lv/media/31877/download>

When pupils are divided into groups, Latvian is the predominant language used by children in 16% of cases, Russian in 41%, and both languages in 43%. When communicating with teachers during break times, these figures are 47%, 8% and 43% respectively.

6. 17 March 2026 The Cabinet of Ministers approved the Ministry of Education and Science's information report 'On the Implementation of the Transition to a Unified School System'¹⁰. The report presented an assessment of the situation for the 2024/25 academic year, based on monitoring data from the State Education Quality Service. During the reporting period, 8,299 pupils were involved in the transition process, including 8,010 in municipal educational institutions and 289 in private ones.

Issues requiring rectification were identified in one-third of educational institutions. These problems are particularly prevalent in schools in Riga and Daugavpils, where it is difficult to provide a Latvian-language environment conducive to the transition. In particular, 10 former Russian-language schools in Riga are experiencing particular difficulties¹¹. For example, at Elementary School No. 75, 40 out of 48 teachers have resigned. In one of the primary classes, there was no teacher at all for three months, and the children simply sat in the library¹².

Pupils do not use Latvian during break times, and teachers do not use it when communicating with one another. The translation of teaching materials into the pupils' native language is used far too extensively in the teaching process.

Similar problems have arisen in former Latvian language schools due to the influx of pupils from former Russian schools.

7. The vacancies for teaching staff, including speech therapists and special needs teachers, identified in the Ministry of Education and Science's report also present a problem: a vacancy for a speech therapist was noted in 40% of institutions (36 out of 89), a vacancy for a special needs teacher was reported in 41.5% of institutions (37 out of 89), and a vacancy for a teaching assistant in 26% of institutions (23 out of 89). Only about 70% of the funds allocated by the government to fill these vacancies are actually used.

8. Legislators have demonstrated their intention to resolve the identified issues through prohibitive measures.

On 15 May 2025, a proposal by members of the National Alliance (715/Lm14, 69 votes in favour, 16 against) 'On the use of the language of the aggressor state in Latvian schools' was submitted to the Education committee. The Minister of Education was instructed to draft regulations prohibiting any exchange of information in the aforementioned language in schools¹³.

¹⁰ Report on the implementation of the unified school approach (lv):

https://tapportals.mk.gov.lv/attachments/legal_acts/document_versions/844ba5cb-a55e-4d68-a349-8dd4e06c32c4/download

¹¹ 'Teaching only in the state language – ten Riga schools are lagging behind with the transition' (ru), LSM.lv, 04.03.2026: <https://rus.lsm.lv/statja/novosti/obschestvo/04.03.2026-obucenie-tolko-na-gosyazyke-desyat-rizskix-skol-otstayut-s-perexodom.a637341/>

¹² 'Had to sack almost all the teachers, parents had to take their son to a psychologist: the switch to Latvian, the example of one school' (ru), press.lv, 15 April 2026: <https://press.lv/post/prishlos-uvolit-pochti-vseh-uchitelej-roditelyam-otvesti-syna-k-psihologu-perehod-na-latyshskij-primer-odnoj-shkoly>

¹³ National Association: Russian language communication in schools is unacceptable (ru). GRANI, 21 May 2025: https://www.grani.lv/latvia/151174-nacobedinenie-obschenie-po-russki-v-shkolah-nedopustimo.html#google_vignette

13 November 2025: a motion by MPs from the National Alliance was referred to the relevant committee (845/Lm14, 69 votes in favour and 8 against) to draft regulations prohibiting the use of any languages other than Latvian within state and municipal institutions (schools, nurseries, hospitals, the police, social services, etc.). The mandate applies not only to schools but also to relations between providers and consumers of any services (see Section III below).

9. Having received no response from the government, legislators took the initiative themselves. The latest amendments to the Education Act (No. 964 /Lp14) were originally intended to prevent violence and ensure well-being in educational institutions.

However, during the second reading on 13 November 2025, Jānis Dombrova, a member of the National Alliance, tabled a proposal obliging all staff at educational institutions to use only the Latvian language when communicating with one another and with pupils on the premises.

The proposal was rejected, but by the third reading, the Ministry of Education proposed that teachers be required to use only the Latvian language when communicating with one another and with children whilst performing their duties, as well as to improve their knowledge of the state language and ensure that their actions do not cast doubt on its importance. Responsibility for monitoring compliance with these requirements lies with the head of the institution.

The bill was passed by 74 votes to 6.

10. Despite a chronic shortage of teachers, punitive methods continue to be widely used.

At a meeting of the parliamentary committee on education on 2 February 2026, the State Language Centre (SLC) reported on the results of tests of teachers' knowledge of the state language¹⁴. The level of language proficiency established by law is C1 (the fifth most difficult of six levels).

Tests are conducted both on a scheduled basis and in response to complaints received.

Any teacher who fails the assessment is immediately suspended from work for three months, and a record of their professional unsuitability is entered into the register. They do not receive a salary, and during this three-month period they are entitled to retake the assessment. If they fail again, they are dismissed without any severance pay, regardless of their length of service.

Between 2022 and 2025, 405 teachers were found to have unsatisfactory language skills. Of these, 60 teachers passed the retest.

In 2025, more than 2,700 teachers were tested, of whom around 3.6% failed the test (in 2024 – 6.4%). Although the decisions of the SLC may be challenged in court, there has been only one instance of such a decision being overturned in the last two years.

Many teachers from ethnic minority backgrounds are resigning before the inspection takes place, so as to avoid undergoing a humiliating procedure and to retain the language proficiency certificates required for any job.

In 2026, the SLC plans to conduct in-depth audits of teachers at pre-school educational institutions.

11. On 27 November 2025, amendments to the Law on Administrative Penalties for Offences in the Field of Administration, Public Order, and Use of the Official Language¹⁵ (No. 1134/LP14, 62 MPs 'for', 18 'against') were conceptually approved at first reading. The amendments, which

¹⁴ Based on the article "This year, the SLC will place greater emphasis on evaluating preschool teachers' Latvian language skills" (lv) on the papucis.lv portal dated 2 February 2026: <https://papucis.lv/zinas/kultura-un-izklaide/vvc-sogad-pastiprinati-vertes-pirmsskolu-pedagogu-latviesu-valodas-prasmes/>

¹⁵ Law on Administrative Penalties for Offences in the Field of Administration, Public Order, and Use of the Official Language: <https://likumi.lv/ta/en/en/id/314808>

affect far more than just teachers, provide for a sharp increase in the fines imposed by the SLC for all 15 offences mentioned in the law. The lower limit of the most frequently imposed fines for ‘failure to use the state language to a sufficient extent to perform professional or official duties’ has been increased from €35 to €50, and the upper limit from €700 to €975.

III. The exclusion of the Russian language from the service sector

12. The Official Language Law¹⁶ (Section 6(2)) establishes an obligation for service providers to use the Latvian language when communicating with consumers.

At the same time, Sections 2(2) and 2(3) of the Act guarantee freedom of choice regarding the language of communication between private individuals.

13. Attempts to upset this balance in language regulation, effectively eliminating the possibility of using the Russian language, have been made in specific sectors – medicine and banking.

Amendments to the Law on the Rights of Patients¹⁷ (No. 346/Lp14, ‘for’ – 66 MPs, ‘against’ – 9) of 13 June 2024 oblige patients themselves to arrange for an interpreter if a healthcare professional does not understand their language.

In the banking sector, amendments to the Credit Institution Law¹⁸ of 19 September 2024 (No. 568/Lp14, 66 MPs in favour, 9 against) initially stipulated that ATMs should display information only in the state language or one of the official languages of the EU or candidate countries. By amendments of 12 June 2025 (No. 858/Lp14, ‘for’ – 57 MPs in favour, 15 against, 1 abstention), this rule was extended to the language of communication in technical means (apps, websites, in-person service call centres or local service infrastructure). A transition period was set until 30 September 2026.

14. A universal language regulation of the relationship between service providers and recipients was proposed by Nauris Puntulis, a Member of Parliament from the National Alliance, during the second reading of amendments to the Consumer Protection Act (No. 1064/Lp14). The amendment provided for a ban on communication between the parties in any language other than Latvian and other EU languages, even if the parties agree to communicate with each other in another language¹⁹. During the debate on 26 February 2026 at the plenary session, the amendment was supported by 46 MPs, with 24 voting against and 13 abstaining.

By the deadline for submitting amendments for the third reading (19 March), the responsible committee had received several clarifications.

In particular, another MP from the National Alliance, Ilze Indriksone, proposed allowing the parties, by mutual agreement, to communicate in only one of the official languages of the EU or the OECD.

The Ministry of Justice proposes stipulating that the language of communication via technical means (self-service checkouts, apps, websites, in-person or telephone services) must be Latvian.

¹⁶ Official Language Law: <https://likumi.lv/ta/en/en/id/14740>

¹⁷ Law on the Rights of Patients: <https://likumi.lv/ta/en/en/id/203008>

¹⁸ Credit Institution Law: <https://likumi.lv/ta/en/en/id/37426>

¹⁹ ‘Will speaking Russian in shops be banned? The Latvian Parliament has almost made up its mind’ (ru). bb.lv portal, 26 February 2026: <https://bb.lv/statja/politika/2026/02/26/v-magazinax-na-russkom-obshhatsia-zapretiat-seim-pocti-opredelilsia>

Additionally, the languages of EU Member States and candidate countries may be used. Businesses are proposed to exclude other languages from technical means by 30 June 2027.

IV. Restrictions on Russian-language media

15. A sweeping purge of the airwaves and the internet (revocation of licences and/or blocking of channels) of Latvian and foreign TV/radio programmes and websites in Russian covering a wide variety of content (e.g. children’s stories and religious programmes) took place predominantly between 2019 and 2023.²⁰

The National Electronic Media Council (NEPLP), operating under the Electronic Mass Media Act²¹, acted as the censor.

16. The legislators felt that this was not enough and decided to ban the Russian language from public media as well. The National Security Concept²², adopted by parliament on 28 September 2023, provided for the following: ‘Taking into account that the role of the official language is strengthened in all areas of life in Latvia, starting from 1 January 2026, the content created by the public media should also be only in Latvian and in languages belonging to the cultural space of Europe, thus promoting belonging of all inhabitants of Latvia to a unified information space based on the Latvian language and other languages of the EU, the European Economic Area, and the EU candidate countries. Termination of the State funding for content in the Russian language would complete the process of establishing a unified information space, while content in Russian would continue to exist in commercial media funded by private resources’.

17. The Law on Public Electronic Media and Their Management, which regulates the operation of public media,²³ which stipulates that ‘Public electronic mass media shall produce broadcasts, fragments thereof and other services in minority languages in order to promote a sense of belonging to Latvia among all the inhabitants of Latvia, social integration in Latvia as a national state and a thorough understanding of public, social and cultural processes’ (Article 3(7)). The law proposed implementing this obligation as follows: ‘If “Latvian Radio” or “Latvian Television” produce several programmes, one programme or part of the airtime of one programme may be allocated to broadcasts in foreign languages, including in this airtime also films or theatre performances with subtitles in the state language’ (Article 8(4)).

18. Members of the right-wing opposition (the National Alliance and the United List) noted this contradiction and brought an action before the Constitutional Court. In the plaintiffs’ view, the aforementioned provisions of the Public Media Law contradict the status of the Latvian language as the sole official language, as enshrined in the Constitution, as well as the principle of national security also enshrined in the Constitution.

In its judgment of 30 March 2026 in Case No. 2024-30-01, the Constitutional Court agreed with the plaintiffs and required Parliament to amend the aforementioned unconstitutional legal provisions by 1 May 2027.

²⁰ See further [3] §§ 10–14; [4], Chapter V.

²¹ Electronic Mass Media Law: <https://likumi.lv/ta/en/en/id/214039>

²² On Approval of the National Security Concept: <https://likumi.lv/ta/en/en/id/345911>

²³ Law on Public Electronic Mass Media and Administration Thereof: <https://likumi.lv/ta/en/en/id/319096>

The UN Human Rights Committee's categorical demand to review the concept of national security in relation to restrictions on broadcasting in minority languages²⁴ was not even mentioned by the Constitutional Court.

19. It follows from the descriptive part of the court's judgment (para. 18.1) that by the time of the proceedings, the subject matter of the dispute had already ceased to exist. Public media comprise three television channels and five radio stations. As of 1 January 2026, all radio stations and two television channels broadcast exclusively in Latvian.

As for the LTV7 television channel, according to the licence issued, it is entitled to broadcast no more than 10% of its airtime in English, French, Russian, German and Ukrainian. In reality, since 1 January 2025, broadcasting in Russian has been completely moved from the airwaves to the internet. Prior to this, news in Russian had been broadcast on LTV7 for 38 years.²⁵ From 1 January 2026, the concept behind the production of online news in Russian has also changed radically – up to 70% of the content consists of simple translations from Latvian. The Public Media Council's plans include maintaining the provision of information online in Russian until 2029²⁶.

From 1 January 2026, broadcasting of the previously very popular Russian-language radio programme 'Doms kaya Square' has been completely discontinued²⁷.

20. The provision in the national security concept regarding the continued existence of commercial media in Russian is by no means absolute.

NEPLP Director Ivars Āboliņš has submitted a proposal to the parliamentary Human Rights Committee not to renew the licences of radio stations broadcasting in Russian once they expire. Consequently, broadcasting in Russian could cease within eight years. According to Āboliņš, 13 out of 40 radio stations broadcast in Russian²⁸.

The Commission is not yet in a hurry to consider his proposal²⁹.

21. The Cabinet of Ministers has also imposed restrictions on the publication of printed materials in Russian. Under amendments to the VAT Act in the 2026 budget package (No. 1124/Lp14), the VAT rate on printed materials (including newspapers, electronic publications, delivery and subscriptions) in languages other than Latvian and the official languages of the EU and candidate countries, the EEA, the OECD, is increased from 5% to 21%.

²⁴ CCPR/C/LVA/CO/4, 18 July 2025, §§ 43, 44a

²⁵ LTV7. Latest news (ru), 31 December 2024: <https://rus.lsm.lv/statja/novosti/obschestvo/31.12.2024-ltv7-poslednie-novosti.a581997/>

²⁶ "SEPLP Director: Russian language in public media is unlikely to last forever" (lv), LSM.lv, 9 February 2026: <https://www.lsm.lv/raksts/zinas/latvija/09.02.2026-seplp-vaditaja-krievu-valoda-sabiedriskaja-medija-visticamak-nebus-muzigi.a633780/>

²⁷ The end of Russian-language broadcasting. 'Doms kaya Square' will only be broadcast on the radio until the end of this year (ru). BB, 16 May 2025:

https://bb.lv/statja/nasha-latvija/2025/07/15/konec-russkogo-efira-domskaia-ploshhad-budet-zvucat-po-radio-tolko-do-konca-etogo-goda#google_vignette

²⁸ "NEPLP Director Abolinsh proposes gradually phasing out all Russian-language radio stations.(ru)" Delfi, 14 January 2026: <https://rus.delfi.lv/57860/latvia/120102583/glava-neplp-abolinsh-predlagaet-postepenno-prekratit-veshchanie-na-russkom-yazyke-vseh-radiostancij>

²⁹ "NEPLP Chairman calls on MPs to decide on the closure of Russian-language radio stations" (lv). LA, 17 February 2026: <https://www.la.lv/neplp-priekssedetajs-aicina-deputatus-lemt-par-krievu-valoda-raidoso-radio-staciju-darbibas-izbeigšanu>

The explanatory memorandum to the bill notes that funds from taxpayers using these ‘other languages’ will contribute €1,000,000 per year to the budget, and the removal of the exemption will affect 10% of printed materials.

Human rights activist Alexei Dimitrov argues that such discrimination violates the EU VAT Directive, which requires neutrality, and has initiated the relevant appeal procedure³⁰.

It should be noted that the print run of Russian-language publications is by no means excessive. In 2025, the total print run of books published in Latvia stood at 1,347 thousand copies, of which 1,200 thousand were in Latvian and only 42,3 in Russian. By way of comparison, in 2000 these figures stood at 4,805, 4,357 and 175; in 1990 – 14,267, 9,284 and 4,396.³¹

The total circulation of newspapers in 2025 was 30 million copies, including 27 million in Latvian. By way of comparison, in 2021 these figures stood at 42 and 28 million copies; in 2000 – 181 and 112; in 1990 – 476 and 240 million copies.³²

V. The status of Russian and Belarusian citizens

V.1 Revocation of permanent residence permits

22. Since 2022, the authorities have been creating numerous problems for tens of thousands of citizens of the Russian Federation (RF) and Belarus living in Latvia.

Compared to other foreign nationals, their opportunities to obtain a residence permit are significantly restricted³³.

RF citizens have been retroactively required to renew their residence permits, which involves proving knowledge of the state language, completing highly complex forms, and undergoing thorough checks by the security services³⁴.

Compared to the situation described earlier, the group of Russian citizens required to renew their residence permits has expanded significantly. Mass dismissals of Russian and Belarusian citizens have also begun under the pretext of denying access to critical infrastructure facilities.

It should be particularly noted that the overwhelming majority of Russian and Belarusian citizens subjected to unprecedented pressure from the authorities are not foreigners in the conventional sense of the term, but are permanent residents of the Latvian SSR, which was abolished in 1991 after 50 years of existence, just like the current citizens and non-citizens of Latvia.

23. Immediately after gaining independence, the Latvian Parliament, by a resolution of 15 October 1991, refused to recognise as Latvian citizens around one-third of its electorate, or approximately 70% of representatives of Latvian national minorities. These individuals and their descendants were granted the questionable and humiliating status of non-citizens, deprived of the right to participate in any elections and restricted in a multitude of economic rights (bans on certain professions, on holding a number of posts in the public sector, differences in pension amounts, etc.).

³⁰ VAT increase on Russian books: lawyer Dimitrov asks the State Revenue Service to compensate for costs; if refused, he is prepared to take legal action (ru). 18 February 2026, Delfi: <https://rus.delfi.lv/57860/latvia/120107367/povyshenie-nds-na-russkie-knigi-yurist-dimitrov-prosit-vid-kompensirovat-rashody-v-sluchae-otkaza-gotov-suditsya>

³¹ Statistical table BIG030. Production of books by language 1990–2025

³² Statistical table BIG050. Newspapers by language of publication 1990–2025

³³ See [5], Chapter IV, §2

³⁴ See [3], chapter V; [5], chapter IV

The number of these individuals fell from 748,000 in 1993 to 167,000 in 2026: mainly due to emigration, mortality and the registration of newborns as citizens in mixed families.

Some non-citizens managed to complete the complex and unnatural naturalisation procedure. As of 1 January 2026, 151,000 people had been granted citizenship through naturalisation³⁵.

24. Many other non-citizens preferred the status of citizen of the Russian Federation (RF) to their current status; this status was granted to citizens of the former USSR without exams or oaths and without the need to leave Latvia. At the same time, such persons were also issued with a permanent residence permit (PRP) without any additional requirements: until 1 May 2003, in accordance with Article 23¹ of the Law ‘On the Entry and Residence of Foreigners and Stateless Persons in the Republic of Latvia’, and from 1 May 2003 to 24 September 2022 – in accordance with paragraph 8 of Part 1 of Article 24 of the current Immigration Law³⁶.

As of 1 January 2016, the number of Russian Federation citizens in Latvia, according to the Register of Natural Persons, reached a peak of 55,989 people. The overwhelming majority of them obtained Russian Federation citizenship and permanent residence status through the procedure described above. They were just as much permanent residents of the Latvian SSR as future citizens and non-citizens.

It should be noted that for a significant proportion of Russian citizens, acquiring foreign citizenship was not so much a political choice as an economic one. The appeal of Russian citizenship is linked to an earlier retirement age compared to Latvia, given that both countries take into account the same Soviet length of service when determining pension amounts³⁷.

25. The requirement to renew permanent residence permits was imposed on Russian citizens by amendments to the Immigration law of 22 September 2022 (No. 1617/Lp13, 63 votes in favour, 13 against), passed as a matter of urgency two weeks before the parliamentary elections. Russian citizens who obtained their residence permits in accordance with the legislation in effect at the time—that is, after May 1, 2003 (the first wave)—were required to reapply for them.

Persons aged 75 and over were exempt from the language test, but not from the requirement to submit other documents, including a questionnaire, the complexity of which required a greater knowledge of the language than that required for the A2 language test. The questionnaire also contained questions of a political nature, for example, regarding the status of Crimea and the appropriateness of demolishing anti-fascist monuments. Answers to such questions that the Latvian security services deem satisfactory could create problems for the applicants in their country of citizenship.

The relevant provision was amended twice. Specifically, those who attempted to take the language test but were unable to do so by the statutory deadline of September 1, 2023, were issued a two-year residence permit so that they could learn the language during that time.

Under the amendments of 20 June 2024 (No. 561/Lp14, 82 votes in favour, 10 against), the group of individuals required to renew their permanent residence permits was expanded to include those Russian citizens who had obtained permanent residence permits before 1 May 2003 (the second wave). They were required to submit documents for the renewal of their permanent residence permits by 31 October 2025.

³⁵ See [1], chapter 4. 2026 – data from the Register of Natural Persons

³⁶ Immigration Law: <https://likumi.lv/ta/en/en/id/68522>

³⁷ See also [5], chapter IV, §1

26. Statistics on compliance with the requirements for renewing permanent residence permits as of March 2026 are available from the response (No. 24/1-42/1733/N dated 24 March 2026) to Riga City Council member Inna Dieri in response to her enquiry to the Immigration Service.

26.1. First-wave individuals – 25,316, of whom 20% are over 75 years of age. Of these, 4,409 individuals were issued with two-year residence permits. A total of 1,023 residence permits were issued to them (including 98 temporary permits). At the start of 2026, Russian citizens held 3,254 residence permits, of which 3,248 were due to expire on 31 December 2026. 340 individuals had reached the age of 75, of whom only 57 had submitted the documents required to obtain permanent residence.

Decisions were taken regarding the ‘return’ of 86 individuals to their country of citizenship, and 84 expulsion orders were issued. Of these, 34 have been enforced, 19 have been revoked (as residence permits were issued), 2 individuals are in hiding, 5 individuals have been permitted to apply for a residence permit, 3 have died, and one individual is in prison for committing a criminal offence.

Twenty-two decisions on compulsory expulsion were issued, of which 14 have been enforced, 2 have been revoked (residence permits were granted), 3 are in the process of being enforced, 2 have died, and 1 has applied for asylum.

1,939 applications from first-wave individuals are currently under consideration.

26.2. There are 4,678 individuals from the second wave, 47% (!) of whom are over 75 years of age.

Thirteen deportation orders have been issued to these individuals, of which 5 have been voluntarily complied with, 7 are in the process of being enforced, and 1 has been challenged.

426 applications from the second wave are currently under review.

26.3. Additional information was obtained from a report on the meeting of the parliamentary investigative commission on the assessment of immigration regulation on 10 March 2026³⁸.

It is reported that 15,000 Russian citizens have successfully converted their permanent residence permits into EU permanent resident status. A further 1,238 individuals have obtained permanent residence permits on other grounds.

2,600 Russian citizens left Latvia voluntarily.

For individuals in the second wave, the deadline for submitting documents expired on 16 February 2026. The cases of 186 such individuals have been referred to the Border Guard Service for the purpose of their forced removal.

V.2. Dismissals

27. By amendments of 12 June 2025 (No. 884/Lp14, adopted by 65 votes in favour and 7 against), Article 22² of the National Security Act was supplemented by Part 3², stipulating that citizens of Russia and Belarus may not be employed at critical infrastructure facilities if such work involves access to information or equipment of vital importance to the functioning of critical infrastructure.

³⁸ “The number of Russian citizens with valid residence permits in Latvia has fallen by 11,800” (lv), TVNET, 10 March 2026: <https://www.tvnet.lv/8430865/krievijas-pilsonu-skaits-ar-derigam-uzturesanas-atlaujam-latvija-samazinajies-par-11-800>

They may perform such work only in exceptional cases with the specific authorisation of a state security body.

The explanatory notes to the draft law list the sectors of critical infrastructure: electricity, gas supply, heating and air conditioning, water supply; wastewater, waste and sanitation management, wholesale and retail trade; car and motorcycle repair, transport and storage, information and communication services, administrative and support services, public administration and defence; compulsory social insurance, healthcare and social security, other services.

28. News of mass redundancies affecting citizens of two ‘wrong’ countries came to light at the end of January 2026. To the bewilderment of the public, who had heard of the shortage of healthcare workers, they began with the dismissal of 49 employees from Daugavpils Regional Hospital: 29 members of the nursing and junior medical staff (19 of whom were of retirement age) and 20 support staff. A request has been sent to the State Security Service to retain a further seven staff members, without whom the hospital cannot function.

The dismissals were carried out under Part 5 of Article 115 of the Labour Law – without prior notice or severance pay³⁹.

During February and March, reports were published regarding the dismissal of staff from both medical institutions and some of the other organisations mentioned above.

For example, the railway administration has dismissed 13 people, intends to dismiss a further 13, and has requested permission from the State Security Service regarding five employees⁴⁰.

At the time of writing, no request for authorisation to allow individuals to work on critical infrastructure had been granted. The number of affected individuals has not been published.

29. It should be noted that the vast majority of those being made redundant are former non-citizens of Latvia who were born in the country or have lived there for virtually their entire lives, and who have typically worked in these organisations for decades. They meet all integration requirements, including very high standards of proficiency in the state language.

Although those dismissed may continue to work in their profession at other institutions not linked to critical infrastructure, this is not always possible.

For example, intensive care doctor Aleksandr Polupan, who emigrated from Russia in 2023 in protest against the war, was refused employment at Riga Clinical Hospital by the State Security Service, despite having passed the language exam at the highest level and obtained a Latvian medical certificate. He was only able to practise his profession in large hospitals recognised as critical infrastructure, and is now forced to emigrate to Switzerland. Polupan is known for having treated Russia’s leading opposition figure, Navalny, who was allegedly poisoned by the Federal Security Service of Russia⁴¹.

VI. Destruction of cultural monuments

³⁹ “Daugavpils Hospital has dismissed nearly 50 employees — citizens of Russia and Belarus”, Delfi, 2 February 2026: <https://rus.delfi.lv/57860/latvia/120105049/daugavpilsskaya-bolnica-uvolila-pochti-50-sotrudnikov-grazhdan-rossii-i-belarusi>

⁴⁰ “LDZ has dismissed 13 Russian and Belarusian citizens”, TVNET, 5 February 2026: <https://rus.tvnet.lv/8410114/ldz-uvolilo-13-grazhdan-rossii-i-belarusi>

⁴¹ “Why are Russians being laid off en masse in Latvia?”, DW, 06.03.2026: <https://www.dw.com/ru/pocemu-v-latvii-massovo-uvolnaut-rossian-i-belorusov-istoria-na-primere-vraca-navalnogo-aleksandra-polupana/video-76249927>

30. Between 2022 and 2025, Latvia witnessed the mass dismantling of monuments and the renaming of streets associated with anti-fascist soldiers linked to Latvia, as well as figures from Russian politics, science and culture⁴². Those attempting to express sympathy for the victors of Nazism face administrative prosecution, whilst the annual marches on 16 March in honour of the Latvian SS Legion take place unhindered in the centre of Riga⁴³.

Among the attempts to counter this process, five individual communications are currently under consideration by the UN Human Rights Committee, concerning the alleged violation of the International Covenant on Civil and Political Rights by attempts to demolish monuments in areas with a high concentration of minority populations – the major cities of Riga, Daugavpils, Liepāja and Rēzekne⁴⁴.

13 April 2026 the ECHR referred two complaints regarding the ban on protest rallies, filed in 2022 by LRU deputies of the Riga City Council, to the government for communication⁴⁵.

The applications, lodged in August 2025, concerning administrative proceedings brought in respect of the posting on 9 May 2024 on the social media platform Facebook of the Victory Banner over the Reichstag (25414/25) and a photograph of an anti-fascist veteran decorated with military orders (26441/25), have been registered by the Court.

31. In March 2026, the campaign to erase historical memory continued: by a decision of the Riga City Council, a commemorative plaque bearing information about the Russian Emperor Peter I was removed.

The commemorative plaque was installed on 10 March 2008 on the façade of the building at 9 Palasta Street, which belonged to the Russian tsar in the early 18th century. Peter stayed in this building eight times during his visits to Riga. Under Peter, Riga and a significant part of Latvia became part of the Russian Empire. His wife, Empress Catherine I, was a native of Latvia⁴⁶.

VII. Hate speech

32. The actions of the authorities described above fit the definition of ‘incitement to national hatred’ far more closely than the hate speech of individual persons. Yet hate speech not only occurs but, when directed against national minorities, goes unpunished⁴⁷.

A recent example is a comment made by the well-known journalist Ben Latkovskis of the prominent newspaper **Neatkarīgā Rīta Avīze**. On his social media account on X, he made the following remarks about the Russian language: ‘In Latvia, Russian is the language of the lower classes (manual labourers). An educated person who has achieved a respectable social status has absolutely no need to speak Russian anywhere in Latvia, under any circumstances,’ writes Ben

⁴² [3] Chapter IV, [4] Chapters II and III

⁴³ [4], §§11-13, see also “16th March events pass peacefully in Rīga, Latvia”, LSM.lv, 16 March 2026:

<https://eng.lsm.lv/article/culture/history/16.03.2026-16th-march-events-pass-peacefully-in-riga-latvia.a63>

⁴⁴ [3], §28

⁴⁵ Nos. 9127/2025 and 14517/2025

⁴⁶ ‘The Emperor has been deposed! A plaque on a building in Riga commemorating Peter the Great’s stay there has been removed’ (ru), Grani, 27 March 2026: <https://www.grani.lv/latvia/158542-imperator-nizvergnut-v-rige-demontirovali-tablichku-na-dome-posvyaschennuyu-prebyvaniyu-v-nem-petra-pervogo.html>

⁴⁷ [3] Chapter VI

Latkovskis, concluding: “Any Latvian who speaks Russian anywhere is demonstrating their lower social status.”⁴⁸

Following a collective complaint about the statement to various institutions⁴⁹, four negative responses have been received so far⁵⁰.

*VIII. Political restrictions*⁵¹

33. There is no universal suffrage in Latvia⁵².

Those residents of the Latvian SSR and their descendants who have been granted non-citizen status are deprived of the right to vote in elections at all levels, as well as the right to submit collective petitions, including to local authorities.

Permanent residents who are foreign nationals are also deprived of these rights, with the exception of EU citizens, who enjoy the same rights as Latvian citizens in elections to the European Parliament and local councils.

34. In Latvia, there are significant restrictions on the right to stand for election, imposed on candidates for parliament or elected members of parliament.

For national minorities, the most problematic aspects are the language requirements for members of parliament and heads of municipalities (C2 level), as well as for municipal councillors (C1 level), accompanied by a procedure for the withdrawal of a mandate. Level C2 requires the use of Latvian as a native language, although for MPs from national minorities this is not the case. Consequently, any activity by such an MP is at risk⁵³.

The procedure was last applied to Viktor Puchke, a member of parliament from the minority party ‘For Stability’, who in August 2025 was forced to ‘voluntarily’ resign his seat without waiting for the procedure to be completed⁵⁴.

Restrictions on former members of mainstream opposition organisations that were active between January and August 1991 (!), introduced 30 years ago for candidates for local government and parliament, have now been extended to candidates for the European Parliament (amendments to the Law on European Parliament Elections of 25 May 2023, No. 257/Lp14, adopted unanimously by 87 MPs).

⁴⁸ “‘Russian is the language of the lower classes’: Latkovskis insulted Latvians who speak Russian” (ru), bb.lv, 10 February 2026: <https://bb.lv/statja/nasha-latvija/2026/02/10/russkii-iazyk-nizsix-sloev-latkovskis-unizil-latysei-govoriashhix-po-russki>

⁴⁹ “A collective complaint has been filed in Latvia against a journalist who referred to ‘unskilled workers’ in Russian” (ru), Otkrito.lv, 18 March 2026: <https://rus.jauns.lv/article/novosti/700782-v-latvii-podali-kollektivnuiu-zalobu-na-zurnalista-nazvavsego-russkii-iazykom-cernorabocix>

⁵⁰ “Did it turn out that way? Šafrāneks received four rejections to her complaints against Latkovskis” (ru), press.lv, 18 March 2026: <https://press.lv/post/okazalos-pokazalos-shafranek-poluchila-chetyre-otpiski-na-svoi-zayavleniya-na-latkovskisa>

⁵¹ See also [5], Chapter III.

⁵² [3], §1

⁵³ See [1], §2.4.3; [3], §16

⁵⁴ “Viktors Pučka, a member of the Saeima from the opposition party ‘Stability!’, has decided to resign his seat, the LETA news agency has reported” (ru), LSM, 12 August 2025: <https://rus.lsm.lv/statja/novosti/politika/12.08.2025-deputat-seima-otpravlenyi-na-proverku-gosyazyka-resil-slozit-mandat.a610129/>

As a result, Tatyana Zhdanok, co-chair of the ‘Russian Union of Latvia’ party, who had previously served as an MEP for 20 consecutive years, was deprived of the right to stand in the 2024 elections, and her party lost its seat in the European Parliament⁵⁵.

35. The restrictions mentioned above have led to the proportion of representatives of national minorities elected as municipal councillors being significantly lower not only than their share of the population, but also than their share of the electorate⁵⁶.

Nevertheless, amendments to the Election Campaign Act of 22 June 2023 (93/Lp14, ‘for’ – 53, ‘against’ – 10, ‘abstained’ -23 councillors) prohibited paid election campaigning in any language other than Latvian and the official languages of the EU⁵⁷.

The ban was applied in the 2024 European Parliament elections and the 2025 local elections, which further reduced the representation of national minorities among elected deputies.

The ‘Harmony’ party, which represents national minorities, unsuccessfully challenged the restrictions in the Constitutional Court and took the opportunity to challenge them before the ECHR⁵⁸. In the relevant case No. 14685/25, the ECHR sent questions to the government on 18 March 2026.

The party’s lawyer, Elizaveta Krivtsova, the principal author of these applications to the Constitutional Court and the ECHR, as well as numerous other similar initiatives, has been subject to an informal ban on practising her profession. At a council meeting on 25 March 2025, the Bar Council refused to admit her to the examination for the title of barrister, citing her disloyalty as described above. On March 25, 2026, a hearing was held in the court of first instance regarding the lawsuit filed by Krivtsova against the Bar Association (Case No. A420158025).

36. Despite their disproportionately low representation in local councils, national minorities in areas where they live in compact communities often form the ruling majority in those councils.

Between 2019 and 2024, the authorities in the municipalities of Riga and Rēzekne, under formal pretexts, first removed the municipal heads Nils Ušakovs and Aleksandrs Bartševičs from office, and then dissolved the municipal councils of these cities themselves, appointing external administration until new elections⁵⁹.

Parliament decided to entrust the security services with the selection of mayors and deputy mayors, as well as municipal executive directors and their deputies, in municipalities deemed disloyal. Amendments dated 21 March 2024 (449/Lp14, adopted by 71 votes in favour and 9 against) stipulate that, within one month of the election of these officials, the councils must apply for state security clearance for these individuals, to be issued by the security services. In the event of a refusal to grant clearance to a mayor or deputy mayor, the decision on whether to retain them in office is made by the council or, as was previously the case with the mayors of Riga and Rēzekne, by the minister responsible for local government.

By February 2026, the State Security Service had issued the relevant authorisations to 40 council chairpersons and 71 deputy chairpersons. Authorisations were issued for a reduced period to 17 individuals. Permission was refused to the newly elected Rezekne mayor Aleksandrs Bartševičs,

⁵⁵ [5], §III.9

⁵⁶ [3], §15

⁵⁷ See also [3], §19

⁵⁸ Judgment of 13 February 2025 in Case No. 2024-06-01; see also [5], §7

⁵⁹ [3], §17; [5], §6

the outgoing mayor of Jūrmala Gatis Truksnis, and one other person who has not been publicly named⁶⁰.

On 10 February 2026, the minister responsible suspended Aleksandrs Bartševičs from office. Press reports suggest that both the suspension and the refusal to grant permission are being contested⁶¹.

37. The outbreak of full-scale war in Ukraine has prompted a search for ‘disloyal’ individuals within society. One example is the collective petition ‘For a united society without the Latvian Russian Union’. The collection of the required number of citizens’ signatures to submit the petition to parliament (10,000) took place from 11 May 2022 to 1 July 2022. At the parliamentary session on 11 August 2022, the petition (No. 981/Lm13, 68 votes ‘for’, 15 ‘against’) was referred to the Saeima Committee on National Security. During the committee’s consideration of the matter on 14 September 2022, it was noted that the voters’ wishes had already been partially fulfilled by the adoption on 16 June 2022 of amendments to the Law on Political Parties⁶² (1431/Lp13, 71 MPs in favour, 1 against). Article 7 of the law was supplemented by paragraphs 4 and 5, providing for the broadest possible interpretation of ‘actions in support of the aggressor’. In turn, the new wording of Articles 38 and 45 has drastically expanded the scope of the security services’ influence over the activities of political parties⁶³.

38. The ‘Latvian Russian Union (LRU) party received two warnings from law enforcement agencies (09.03.2022⁶⁴ and 24.02.2023⁶⁵), and, where possible, complied with the demands made of it to remove from public access materials that the law enforcement agencies did not approve of. Another effective means of exerting pressure on the LRU is the unspoken ban on opening accounts with Latvian banks, enforced by all banks in Latvia. This deprives the party of the funding to which it is entitled by law, proportional to the number of votes cast for its list of candidates in the 2022 parliamentary elections⁶⁶.

Finally, the party’s co-chair and founder, Tatjana Ždanoka, was not only stripped of her right to stand in all elections, but on 22 February 2024, the State Security Service (SSS) also opened a criminal case against her⁶⁷. Tatjana is suspected of collaborating with Russian intelligence services

⁶⁰ ‘SSS: leave denied to Truksnis, Bartasevich and one deputy mayor’(ru), TVNET, 4 February 2026:

<https://rezekneszinas.lv/ru/Politika/1/36808/SGB-v-dopuske-otkazano-Truksnisu-Bartashevichu-i-odnomu-vice-meru>

⁶¹ ‘Ministers suspend the mayor of Rēzekne (updated)’(lv). Rēzeknes ziņas, 10 February 2026:

[https://rezekneszinas.lv/lv/AKTUALI/1/36921/Ministrs-atstadina-Rezeknes-meru-\(zina-papildinata\)](https://rezekneszinas.lv/lv/AKTUALI/1/36921/Ministrs-atstadina-Rezeknes-meru-(zina-papildinata))

⁶² Law on Political Parties: <https://likumi.lv/ta/en/en/id/139367>

⁶³ Towards a united society without the Latvian Russian Union. The initiative’s demand has been partially implemented (lv). Manabalss.lv: <https://manabalss.lv/i/2421>

⁶⁴ ‘Latvian Russian Union receives warning from the State Security Service regarding the justification of Russian foreign policy’ (lv), tv3.lv, 22 April 2022: <https://tv3.lv/zinas/arvalstis/latvijas-krievu-savieniba-sanemusi-vdd-bridinajumu-par-krievijas-arpolitikas-attaisnosanu/>

⁶⁵ ‘Prosecutor’s warning to the Latvian Russian Union not to violate the prohibitions set out in the Political Parties Act’, Latvian Prosecutor’s Office (lv), 17 March 2023:

<https://prokuratūra.lv/lv/aktualitates/2026/aktualitates/prokurora-bridinajums-latvijas-krievu-savienibai-nepielaut-politisko-partiju-likuma-noteikto-aizliegumu-parkapsanu-2902>

⁶⁶ [3], §19

⁶⁷ ‘Criminal investigation launched against Ždanoka in Latvia’, Radio Free Europe, 16 March 2024:

<https://www.svoboda.org/a/v-latvii-nachato-ugolovnoe-rassledovanie-v-otnoshenii-tatjany-zhdanok/32864264.html>

VDD is conducting criminal proceedings in the criminal case against Tatjana Ždanoka, VDD, 24 July 2024:

<https://vdd.gov.lv/en/news/press-releases/vdd-carries-out-criminal-proceedings-in-the-criminal-case-against-tatjana-zdanoka>

on the basis of her email correspondence, which was leaked to the press not without the involvement of Western intelligence services.

Tatyana was forced to step down from the party leadership and move to Brussels, where she had worked for 20 years. The latest report on the SSS's handover of the results of the search of her Brussels flat appeared on most news portals on 21 March 2026⁶⁸, the day before the LRU congress. According to the SSS, 'from the moment the SSS opened a criminal case against party leader Tatiana Zhdanok in 2024, the LRU's capabilities were significantly restricted'⁶⁹.

39. An even more serious blow was dealt to the 'For Stability' party, which targets the Russian-speaking electorate. This single-leader populist party, led by Alexei Roslikov—who, at the time of the party's registration (9 February 2021), was already a former member of the Riga City Council, having been elected to the council on the 'Harmony' party list.

39.1. Following the results of the 2022 parliamentary elections, the party formed a parliamentary group of 11 members. In the voting results cited here regarding legislative acts discriminating against national minorities, the 'against' votes belong entirely or in part to members of this group.

39.2. Roslikov, as a member of parliament, headed the party's list in the 2025 elections to the Riga City Council, which secured five seats. Two days before the elections, parliament debated the 'Declaration on the criminal Russification carried out by the Soviet occupation regime in Latvia and the elimination of its linguistic consequences'. In his speech opposing the declaration, Roslikov switched to Russian and made an obscene gesture to his colleagues, for which he was removed from the chamber⁷⁰.

Roslikov's entire parliamentary group left the chamber with him. As a result, the declaration was referred to the Saeima's Education Committee without a single objection⁷¹.

39.3. On the basis of Roslikov's speech described above and his social media posts regarding the Declaration on the criminal Russification..., the SSS opened a criminal case: incitement of inter-ethnic discord and aiding an aggressor state. This no longer required parliamentary authorisation, as Roslikov's election to the Riga City Council had deprived him of parliamentary immunity. The SSS and the public prosecutor's office did not apply a preventive measure in the form of arrest against Roslikov. The second of the two charges was dropped even before the case was referred to court in December 2025⁷².

The first court hearing in the Roslikov case took place on 9 April 2026. The Prosecutor's Office had granted him permission to travel to Switzerland until 10 March 2026, but shortly before the

⁶⁸ 'VDD is analysing documents and data storage devices seized from Ždanoka's flat in Brussels'(ru), TVNET, 21 March 2026: <https://rus.tvnet.lv/8437827/vdd-analiziruet-izyatyev-kvartire-zhdanok-v-bryussele-dokumenty-i-nositeli-dannyh>

⁶⁹ Latvian State Security Service. Annual Report, 2025, p. 37: <https://vdd.gov.lv/uploads/materials/42/en/annual-report-2025.pdf>

⁷⁰ 'VIDEO. MP Roslikov was removed from the Seimas session for rudeness and shouting in Russian'(ru), LSM.lv, 05.06.2025: <https://rus.lsm.lv/statja/novosti/politika/05.06.2025-video-deputata-roslikova-udalili-s-zasedaniya-seima-za-grubost-i-vykrik-na-russkom.a601933/>

⁷¹ 'Declaration 'On the elimination of the consequences of Russification' submitted to the Saeima committee'(ru), Otkrito.lv, 5 June 2025: <https://rus.jauns.lv/article/novosti/657928-deklaraciia-ob-ustraninii-posledstvii-rusifikacii-peredana-komissii-seima>

⁷² 'Roslikov's case on incitement of national hatred referred to court'(ru), Freecity.lv, 15 December 2025: <https://www.freecity.lv/rassledovaniija/103304/>

trial he was found in Minsk. The court did not recognise his right to attend the trial via videoconference and issued a ruling for his arrest in absentia⁷³.

The day before, on 6 April 2026, the politician submitted his resignation as chairman and board member of the ‘For Stability’ party, stating that he did not want the political persecution against him to extend to the party⁷⁴.

He has not ruled out the possibility of seeking political asylum in Belarus⁷⁵.

39.4. On 30 December 2025, the Prosecutor General’s Office issued a warning to the ‘For Stability’ party in accordance with sections 4 and 5 of Article 7 of the Law ‘On Political Parties’ – ‘for inciting inter-ethnic discord and for supporting Russian propaganda’⁷⁶. The party was asked to remove the relevant material from social media by 7 February 2026, On 4 February 2026, the party stated that it considered the prosecutor’s warning to be unfounded; in early March, the prosecutor’s office announced that it was preparing a court case to suspend the party’s activities; and by 26 March 2026, the party had nevertheless removed the objectionable content, and the prosecutor’s office declared that it had no further claims against the party⁷⁷.

During nearly two months of uncertainty, many of the party’s members left, including members of parliament. Fewer than the five MPs required by law remained in the parliamentary group, and with the dissolution of the group, the party lost a significant portion of its state funding⁷⁸.

The party’s faction in the Riga City Council is now down to the minimum possible number of members – three, including Roslikov himself.

40. In its annual reports, the SSS regularly publishes information on the ‘threatening activities of pro-Moscow’ political parties. The 2025 report once again mentions the LRU and ‘For Stability’, and for the first time adds a third party to the list – ‘Sovereign Power’⁷⁹. The party apparently attracted the SSS’s attention because seven deputies from the LRU were elected from its lists in the 2025 municipal elections.

Inna Dieri, who defected from the LRU and was re-elected as a deputy to the Riga City Council, is singled out for her open condemnation of the abolition of Russian-language education⁸⁰.

IX. Criminal prosecution of dissidents

⁷³ “Court orders Roslikov’s arrest. The politician is in Belarus and may not return to Latvia (UPDATED-ru)”, Delfi, 9 April 2026: <https://rus.delfi.lv/57860/latvia/120113973/roslikov-pokinul-post-lidera-stabilnosti-politik-nahoditsya-v-belarusi-i-mozhet-ne-vernutsya-v-latviyu>

⁷⁴ “Roslikov has stepped down as chairman of the board of ‘Stability!’”(ru), LSM.lv, 9 April 2026: <https://rus.lsm.lv/statja/novosti/politika/09.04.2026-roslikov-usel-s-posta-predsedatelya-pravleniya-stabilnosti.a642243/>

⁷⁵ “Roslikov admits he may stay in Belarus and not return to Latvia”(ru), LSM.lv, 9 April 2026: <https://rus.tvnet.lv/8449224/roslikov-dopuskaet-cto-mozhet-ostatsya-v-belarusi-i-ne-vernutsya-v-latviyu>

⁷⁶ Latvian State Security Service. Annual Report, 2025 (op. cit.), p. 35

⁷⁷ “The ‘For Stability!’ party has given in and removed the content that could have led to the suspension of its activities”(ru), Otkrito, 26 March 2026: https://rus.jauns.lv/article/novosti/702191-partiia-za-stabilnost-sdaldas-i-udalila-kontent-iz-za-kotorogo-mogli-priostanovit-ee-deiatelnost#google_vignette

⁷⁸ “The collapse of ‘Stability!’ in the Saeima — the party will lose almost €200,000 from the state budget”(ru), LSM.lv, 25 February 2026: <https://rus.lsm.lv/statja/novosti/politika/25.02.2026-raspad-stabilnosti-v-seime-partiya-lisitsya-pocti-200-tys-evro-iz-gosbyudzeta.a636348/>

⁷⁹ Latvian State Security Service. Annual Report, 2025, p. 34

⁸⁰ Ibid.

41. The criminal prosecution of persons who publicly express disagreement with such an aspect of state policy as discrimination against the Russian-speaking minority is an integral part of this Latvian state policy.

Politically motivated criminal cases are mainly initiated and investigated by the State Security Service (SSS) and referred to the Public Prosecutor’s Office for the bringing of charges.

The formal basis for criminal prosecution is provided by specific sections of the Criminal Law⁸¹ : Chapter IX (crimes against humanity, peace, war crimes, genocide, incitement to national hatred) and Chapter X (crimes against the state, including violations of international sanctions).

The relatively recently introduced Articles 81.1 (April 2016) and 77.4 (December 2023) are particularly popular. The wording of these articles — committing acts with the aim of assisting a foreign state in taking measures against Latvia or another democratic state — allows for a very broad interpretation.

The activities of Latvia’s Russian-speaking population to defend their rights are interpreted as ‘assisting Russia in taking measures against Latvia’, whilst the mere mention of government acts hostile towards national minorities is seen as dividing society and inciting inter-ethnic discord.

According to the SGB, Russia will continue to use appeals to ‘compatriots’ in official international forums to address issues of ‘rights violations’ against the Russian-speaking population in the Baltic states, in order to legitimise its interference in the internal affairs of the Baltic states⁸² . Although most authoritative international institutions have, over the last few years (2018–2025), documented violations of the rights of national minorities that contravene Latvia’s international obligations⁸³ .

42. Although the authorities present the persecution of dissidents as a response to ‘Russia’s aggressive policy’, significant criminal cases still pending includeas the case of the 14 journalists (2020, [3]§47; [5]§V.3), the cases of publicists Yuri Alekseyev (2017, [3]§48), Alexander Gapenko (2018, [3]§45; [5]§V5), Vladimir Linderman (2018, [3]§44; [5] §V4), and Alexander Filey (2019, [7], p. 20) were initiated well before 24 February 2022.

However, both the number of cases initiated by the SSS (Table 1) and the number of cases referred by the SSS to the Public Prosecutor’s Office (Table 2) have increased significantly since 2022 and show a trend towards further growth.

Table 1

Number of criminal cases initiated by the SGB⁸⁴

Year	2020	2021	2022	2023	2024
Number of cases	22	30	50	39	43

Table 2

Number of criminal cases referred by the SGB to the Public Prosecutor’s Office⁸⁵

⁸¹ Criminal Law: <https://likumi.lv/ta/en/en/id/88966>

⁸² Latvian State Security Service. Annual Report, 2025, p.39

⁸³ [9]

⁸⁴ Latvian State Security Service. Annual Report, 2024, p.72

⁸⁵ Latvian State Security Service. Annual Report, 2025, p.75. Data for 2017–2020 from the relevant annual reports.

Year	2017	2018	2019	2020	2021	2022	2023	2024	2025
Number of processes	9	11	15	16	17	25	33	21	41
Number of suspects	9	14	20	19	32	32	44	22	58

43. The use of measures such as searches, arrests, confiscation of office equipment, restrictions on access to bank accounts, pre-trial detention and/or various police restrictions during the investigation period is, in itself, a disproportionate means of punishment for the expression of opinion, and a tool for intimidating those who share the views of these victims of arbitrariness. However, senior officials such as the country's president and the Attorney General are openly demanding that the courts hand down the harshest possible sentences in political trials⁸⁶.

In the opinion of the State Security Service, the only effective security measure capable of preventing suspects [i.e. persons accused of crimes against Latvia's security] from fleeing Latvia and continuing their activities against Latvia from the territory of Russia or Belarus is detention⁸⁷. The chair of the parliamentary committee on national security also insists on the pre-trial detention of all those 'awaiting a Russian invasion', regardless of the level of evidence of their potential guilt⁸⁸.

In 2025, the UN Human Rights Committee noted the lack of independence and impartiality of the judiciary in Latvia and the insufficiency of guarantees that judges and their staff can work without any pressure or interference from the legislative and executive branches⁸⁹.

As regards prison conditions, the UN Human Rights Committee in 2025 strongly criticised the Latvian prison system⁹⁰, noting cases of suspicious deaths, inadequate medical care, the disproportionate use of pre-trial detention, poor prison conditions and a hierarchical structure among prisoners that encourages violence and bullying of the weak.

44. At the very end of 2025, at least two new politically motivated criminal cases had emerged – against LRU party members Polina Kamleva and Viktor Gushchin, who were detained on 2 December 2025 and 17 December 2025 respectively and remain in custody to this day.

The case of Kamleva (1987), a seamstress and participant in Russian national cultural events, has already been referred to court; she is accused of transferring approximately €43 to purchase a drone-jamming device to pass it on to the Russian military⁹¹.

Viktor Gushchin (1958), a Doctor of History and one of the most authoritative public figures of Latvia's Russian-speaking minority, is accused of publishing two articles in 2023 on a Russian website allegedly included on the EU sanctions lists⁹², 07.04.2026 the human rights defender's case was referred to the public prosecutor's office for the preparation of an indictment⁹³.

⁸⁶ [5], §V.1

⁸⁷ Latvian State Security Service. Annual Report, 2024, p.36

⁸⁸ It can be assumed that he was also a 'waiter', BB.lv, 11 April 2026: https://en.bb.lv/article/politics/2026/04/11/it-can-be-assumed-that-he-was-also-a-waiter-95523#google_vignette

⁸⁹ Human Rights Committee. Concluding observations on the fourth periodic report of Latvia* 18 July 2025, §§31, 32

⁹⁰ Ibid., §§23–26, 29, 30

⁹¹ 'Case against activist Polina Kamleva referred to court: accused of financing the Russian army'(ru), Press.lv, 03.03.2026: https://press.lv/post/delo-protiv-aktivistki-poliny-kamlyovoj-peredano-v-sud-obvinyayut-v-finansirovanii-rossijskoj-armii#google_vignette

⁹² [8]

⁹³ "The State Security Service has called for charges to be brought against activist Gushchin for posts on a Russian propaganda website"(ru), Delfi, 13 April 2026: <https://rus.delfi.lv/57860/latvia/120114402/sluzhba-gosbezopasnosti->

45. The main developments in the cases of individual politically repressed Latvians compared with the situation as at 18 November 2025⁹⁴ are as follows.

The court of first instance sentenced Aleksandr Gapenko to 10 years' imprisonment⁹⁵.

Aleksandr Zhgun has sought political asylum in Russia. Tatiana Andriets remains the only defendant in the case involving the Telegram channel "Baltic Antifascists" who is still available to the Latvian authorities⁹⁶.

Elena Kreile has served a year in prison in connection with one of the cases brought against her and is currently at liberty pending the conclusion of other criminal proceedings against her⁹⁷.

Alexander Filey's appeal has been dismissed⁹⁸.

X. Previous monitoring studies by the LCCH

[1] Vladimir Buzayev. Legal and social situation of the Russian-speaking minority in Latvia, Riga, LCK, 2013: http://www.russkije.lv/files/images/text/PDF_Files/Legal-and-social-situation.pdf

[2] To the Advisory Committee on the Framework Convention for the Protection of National Minorities. Alternative NGO report on Latvia (third cycle). 31 July 2017: http://www.lhrc.lv/arxiv/Shadow_report_FCNM_LV2017.docx

[3] Situation regarding the rights of national minorities in Latvia (2019–March 2024) <http://lhrc.lv/arxiv/LHRC%20Communication%20to%20OSCE%20Latvia%20180324.pdf>

[4] LHRC written contribution for the adoption of the list of issues prior to reporting (LOIPR), concerning the Fourth periodic report of the Republic of Latvia [INT_CCPR_CSS_LVA_63061_E]; 23 April 2025:

https://tbinternet.ohchr.org/_layouts/15/treatybodyexternal/Download.aspx?symbolno=INT%2FCCPR%2FCSS%2FLVA%2F63061&Lang=en

[5] SOME ASPECTS OF THE RIGHTS OF NATIONAL MINORITIES IN LATVIA concerning the Fourth periodic report of the Republic of Latvia [INT_CCPR_CSS_LVA_63268_E]; 25 May 2025:

https://tbinternet.ohchr.org/_layouts/15/treatybodyexternal/Download.aspx?symbolno=INT%2FCCPR%2FCSS%2FLVA%2F63268&Lang=en

[6] Stop political repression in the Baltic countries! To Donald Trump and Vladimir Putin, 5 March 2025: http://lhrc.lv/arxiv/Appeal%20TP1_en.pdf

[7] Victims of political repression in the Baltic states. Prisoners of Baltlag, 18 November 2025: http://lhrc.lv/arxiv/18_11_25_repressed_list.pdf

prizyvaet-predyavit-obvinenie-aktivistu-gushchina-v-predostavlenii-publikacij-rossijskomu-propagandistskomu-resursu

⁹⁴ [7], pp. 5–22

⁹⁵ 'Court ruling: Aleksandr Gapenko sentenced to 10 years in prison'(ru), Press.lv, 28 January 2026:

https://press.lv/post/reshenie-suda-aleksandr-gapenko-prigovoren-k-10-godam-tyurmy#google_vignette

⁹⁶ "Zhgun, a defendant in the 'Baltic Anti-Fascists' case, has fled to Russia"(ru), Press.lv, 10 March 2026:

https://press.lv/post/obvinyaj-po-delu-antifashisty-pribaltiki-zhgun-poehal-na-rybalku-i-bezhal-v-rossiyu#google_vignette

⁹⁷ "Elena Kreile, the housewife who served a prison sentence for displaying installations in her window, has been released from a Latvian prison"(ru), baltija.eu, 24 March 2026: <https://baltija.eu/2026/03/24/otbyvshaya-srok-za-installyaczii-v-okne-elena-kreile-osvobozhdena-iz-latvijskoj-tyurmy/>

⁹⁸ "Alexandra Filey has been sent to prison. But is he even in Latvia?," (ru) grani.lv, 18 February 2026: https://www.grani.lv/accidents/157732-aleksandra-fileya-otpravili-za-reshetku-no-razve-on-v-latvii.html#google_vignette

[8] Stop the repression of Latvian human rights activist [Viktor Ivanovich Gushchin], March 2026:
<http://lhrc.lv/arxiv/Detailed-description-Gushchin.docx>

[9] VIOLATIONS OF THE RIGHTS OF THE RUSSIAN-SPEAKING MINORITY IN LATVIA
DOCUMENTED BY INTERNATIONAL OBSERVERS (2018–2025), August 2025:
<http://lhrc.lv/arxiv/REcLatvia%20RU.docx>

Vladimirs Buzajevs Co-chairman

Jūlija Sohina Projects manager

Riga, 15 April 2026